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**A. Definition of Semantics**

**Semantics** is a branch of linguistics that studies the meaning of words, phrases and sentences.

**B. Meaning**

**1. Definition of Meaning**

Meaning is the concept or the thing that a word, phrase, sentence, etc. refers to.

**2. Types of Meaning**

**a) The Denotative Meaning**

This is the literal meaning of a word indicating the idea or concept to which it refers. Concept is a minimal unit of meaning which could be called a ‘sememe’. For example, the word ‘man’ may be defined as a concept consisting of a structure of meaning ‘human + male + adult’. All the three qualities are logical attributes of which the concept ‘man’ is made. They are the minimal qualities that the concept must possess in order to be a distinguishable concept, e.g. if any of these changes, the concept too changes. Hence, ‘human + female + adult’ would not be the concept referred to by the word ‘man’, since it is a different concept.

**b) The Connotative Meaning**

The connotative meaning consists of the attributes associated with a concept. A good example is the disease called cancer. The denotation of "cancer" is the mere disease that can be described by growing tumors, malfunctioning organs, etc. The connotation of cancer is all the emotional additions, as "cruel", "frightening", "hard to heal", etc. While the denotative meaning of a lexeme is subject to language change in the broad sense, the connotative meaning may change according to the taste en vogue. A one hundred ago, "fur coat" had a connotation of value and high social status. Nowadays, "fur coat" evinces a connotation of "animal murder", "cruelty", "ignorance", and so on.

**C. Semantic Relations**

**1. Synonymy**

This refers to similarity or ‘sameness of meaning’. We should keep in mind that the idea of “sameness” of meaning used in discussing synonymy is not necessarily “total sameness.” Words used in language have strongly emotional or associative significance. For example, liberty-freedom, answer-reply, eat-consume, help-aid, old-ancient, large-wide, etc. are partial synonyms. Partial synonyms are not interchangeable, i.e. we cannot replace one with the other. Each one is used in particular context.

**2. Antonymy**

Apart from equality in meaning, as with synonyms, there is also the opposite. Opposition in meaning is known as antonymy. *Large*-*small*, *wide*-*narrow*, *white*-*black*, *fat-slim* are some examples for antonyms. All classes of words can have antonyms. Antonyms are usually divided into two main types, ***gradable*** (opposites along a scale) and ***non-gradable*** (direct opposites). Gradable antonyms, such as the pair *big*/*small*, can be used in comparative constructions like *I’m bigger than you* and *A pony is smaller than a horse*. Also, the negative of one member of a gradable pair does not necessarily imply the other. For example, the sentence *My car isn’t old*, doesn’t necessarily mean *My car is new*.

**3.** **Hyponymy**

When the meaning of one form is included in the meaning of another, the relationship is described as hyponymy. Examples are the pairs: *animal*/*dog*, *dog*/*poodle*, *vegetable*/*carrot*, *ﬂower*/*rose*, *tree*/*banyan*. The concept of “inclusion” involved in this relationship is the idea that if an object is a *rose*, then it is necessarily a *ﬂower*, so the meaning of *ﬂower* is included in the meaning of *rose*. Or, *rose* is a hyponym of *ﬂower*.

**4. Polysemy**

When a word is identified as possessing two or more meanings, it is; said to be polysemous. These different meanings are derived from one basic idea or concept. Dictionaries enter different meanings of a word. *Head*, for example, has the following different meanings : the upper division of the body, the source of a stream, the leader, director, culminating point of action, etc. All these meanings derive from the same word. Other examples of polysemy are *foot* (of person, of bed, of mountain) or *run* (person does, water does, colours do).

**5. Homonymy**

Homophonous words may be spelled and written identically or in different ways. For the words that are spelled alike, the name ***homography*** is used. For the words that sound alike but may be spelled differently, the term homophony is used. Examples of the former are tear /tɪə/-tear /teə/; bow /bəw/-bow /bɑw/; lead /lɪ:d/-lead /led/; desert /dizə:t/-desert /dezət/. As for ***homophones***, one can mention the following instances: right-rite-write-wright; site-sight-cite; see-sea; die-dye; by-buy.

**6. Metonymy**

A close connection between words can be based on a container–contents relation (*bottle/water, can/juice*), a whole–part relation (*car/wheels, house/roof*) or a representative–symbol relationship (*king/crown, the President/the White House*). Using one of these words to refer to the other is an example of ***metonymy***. It is our familiarity with metonymy that makes it possible for us to understand the sentences below:

a) He drank the whole bottle.

b) The White House announced that The USA will not negotiate with terrorists.

c) We have to find a roof to shelter us as soon as possible.

**7. Collocation**

An important concept in semantics is that of collocation, which recognizes the association of a lexical item with other lexical items. If you ask a thousand people what they think of when you say *hammer*, more than half will say *nail*. If you say *table*, they will mostly say *chair*, and *butter* elicits *bread,* *needle* elicits *thread* and *salt* elicits *pepper*. One way we seem to organize our knowledge of words is simply on the basis of ***collocation***, or frequently occurring together.

**D. Semantic Features**

We can make this observation more generally applicable by trying to determine the crucial elements or features of meaning that any noun must have in order to be used as the subject of the verb *ate*. Such an element may be as general as “animate being.” We can then use this idea to describe part of the meaning of words as having either plus (+) or minus (–) that particular feature. So, the feature that the noun *boy* has is “**+** animate” (= denotes an animate being) and the feature that the noun *hamburger* has is “**–** animate” (= does not denote an animate being).

This simple example is an illustration of a procedure for analyzing meaning in terms of ***semantic features***. Features such as animate, human, female, and adult, for example, can be treated as the basic elements involved in differentiating the meaning of each word in a language from every other word. If we had to provide the crucial distinguishing features of the meanings of a set of English words such as *table, horse, boy, man, girl, woman*, we could begin with the chart in the table below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **table** | **horse** | **boy** | **man** | **girl** | **woman** |
| **animate** | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| **human** | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| **female** | - | + | - | - | + | + |
| **adult** | - | + | - | + | - | + |